

**Task 0**

Take a few minutes and write out how you normally teach subgroups and/or how you were taught subgroups.

## **Task 1**

*Definition:* A subgroup is a subset of a group that is itself a group with respect to the same operation.

Prove that  $(5\mathbf{Z}, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$ . (By definition  $5\mathbf{Z}$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .)

### **1. Closure**

### **2. Identity**

### **3. Inverses**

### **4. Associativity** $5\mathbf{Z}$ inherits associativity from $\mathbf{Z}$

## Task 2

Time to make a conjecture!

According to our definition of subgroup, we must check all four group axioms to ensure a subset is a group (Closure, Identity, Inverses, Associativity).

Come up with a smaller set of conditions that are sufficient (and necessary) to ensure that a subset of a group is a subgroup.

Note: What we want is a weaker set of conditions, so that it will be easier to prove things are subgroups. There is more than one such set of conditions.

Write and prove a theorem based on your conditions.

- If your theorem can be stated as an "if and only if" statement, state it this way.
- If it can not be stated as an "if and only if" give an example to explain why.



#### Task 4

*Theorem:* Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a subset of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is a subgroup iff 1)  $H$  is nonempty, 2)  $H$  is closed under the operation defined on  $G$ , and 3) each element of  $H$  has its inverse in  $H$ .

*Proof:*

### Task 5

Consider this conjecture: "Any closed subset of a group is a subgroup."

Prove or disprove this conjecture.

### Task 6

Consider the following "proof" of the conjecture that closure is sufficient:

"Because the subset is closed, only elements from the subset appear in a row of the table. So each element of the subset must appear in any row. Since symmetry  $A$  must appear in the row for symmetry  $A$ , the identity must be in the subset. Then since the identity must appear in the row for symmetry  $A$ , the inverse of  $A$  must be in the subset. Finally, since associativity holds for the whole group it must hold for the subset. Therefore the subset is a group and hence a subgroup."

Where is the flaw in this argument? Are there cases where this proof works? Can this be used to make a new subgroup theorem (different than the one proved in Task 4)?